



HINGHAM MUNICIPAL LIGHTING PLANT

31 Bare Cove Park Drive
Hingham, MA 02043-1585
(781) 749-0134 FAX (781) 749-1396
www.hmlp.com

General Manager
Thomas Morahan
tmorahan@hmlp.com

Laura Burns, Chair
Michael Reive, Vice Chair
Tyler Herrald

REGULAR MEETING HINGHAM MUNICIPAL LIGHT BOARD

August 30, 2022

Zoom Meeting Recording Link

https://us02web.zoom.us/rec/share/2QZvflQhrnGdhfMDf68RKY1OcGNMIM3biHLgsObuhd_2b_ZBZn7rEwGYq6qELtHc.bmopBO1sEVvs7IIb?startTime=1661859224000

Meeting Called to Order

A regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the Hingham Municipal Light Plant (HMLP) was called to order by Ms. Burns, the Board's Chair, at approximately 0734 on Tuesday, August 30, 2022, via Zoom.

Present:

Board Members: Laura Burns, Chair
Michael Reive, Vice Chair
Tyler Herrald

HMLP: Thomas Morahan, General Manager
Mark Fahey, Assistant General Manager
Joan Griffin, CPA, Business Manager
Stephen Giardi, Engineering Manager
Brianna Bennett, Sustainability Coordinator

Others: Members of the Public

Ms. Burns read the following statement into the record:

This meeting is being held remotely as an alternative means of public access pursuant to Chapter 20 of the Act of 2021 and all other applicable laws temporarily amending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law. You are hereby advised that this meeting and all communications during this meeting may be recorded by the Hingham Municipal Light Plant in accordance with the Open Meeting Law. If any participant wishes to record this

meeting, please notify the chair at the start of the meeting in accordance with M.G.L. c. 30A, § 20(f) so that the chair may inform all other participants of said recording.

Ms. Burns asked if anyone other than HMLP wished to record the meeting. No one responded.

Chair's Opening Remarks

Ms. Burns extended a warm welcome to Tyler Herral, the Board's newest member. It was noted that training materials were distributed to Mr. Herral and that he has completed his ethics training. Mr. Morahan will send additional training materials to him.

Mr. Herral introduced himself and shared his background. He has over 20 years of experience in the energy industry – working for energy companies, investment banks and hedge funds. His focus in the energy space has been on power and electricity, particularly within the Northeast. The groups he has worked with have been involved with wholesale and retail load serving, tolling and purchasing of assets, and management of portfolios of load and resources. He follows regulatory developments in power markets and is familiar with ISOs and their rules, including ISO New England (“ISO NE”). He is well versed in projections of where the grid will be and different scenarios. He read the latest ISO NE document distributed to the Board and is happy to speak to that. He is happy to be on the Board.

Ms. Burns introduced HMLP staff to Mr. Herral.

Emergency Agenda Item: Recent ISO NE Letter to FERC re Winter Fuel Security

The subject then turned to an “emergency” topic that Ms. Burns added to the agenda, regarding a recent letter that ISO NE sent to FERC about winter fuel security in the Northeast.

Ms. Burns explained. On 8/29, she sat in on the weekly conference call held among general managers and David Cavanaugh of ENE to “recap” regulatory matters involving NEPOOL and ISO NE. During the call, Mr. Cavanaugh referenced a letter that ISO NE recently sent to FERC concerning winter fuel security in the Northeast and asked whether the participants generally agreed with ISO NE's statement(s). Ms. Burns asked Mr. Morahan to put the matter on the agenda as an “emergency” item. The Board is allowed under the law to consider an issue on emergency grounds where the matter is not reasonably foreseeable at posting. Because ENE would like HMLP's feedback on the letter prior to its next scheduled meeting, the matter is on the agenda.

Mr. Reive noted there is an upcoming FERC meeting in Burlington scheduled for September 8, 2022 and that the letter might be a precursor to that meeting. This was discussed. The FERC meeting concerns winter energy resources. Mr. Morahan will share the Zoom link for the meeting with the Board.

Ms. Burns asked the Board for their thoughts regarding ISO NE's statement on the winter fuel situation.

Mr. Reive started by noting that it is realistic. Mr. Herrald then provided the following comments. The matter is not new and has been an issue for many years. Our residential and commercial heating demand for natural gas outstrips our ability to import gas into the region. There are geological constraints in New England. Our area is not suited for natural gas storage facilities. We cannot store gas like other areas in the country. As a result, we import oil and LNG to fill the gap. To try to ensure reliability, ISO NE established a program called Capacity Performance. The program penalizes generators for nonperformance during peak periods like the winter and summer. The question is whether the program is sufficient to address fuel security and reliability of New England. Perhaps barely enough. New England is the only area in the country that imports LNG from other parts of the world. We cannot import from our own country due to the Jones Act. So, we are importing from Trinidad, Europe and sometimes Russia, and the prices are very high.

Mr. Herrald continued. ISO NE's letter addresses the pending retirement of the Mystic Power Plant in Everett. This is an LNG storage facility and is 100% needed. He would encourage ISO NE to keep this facility online and operational. There are 3 alternatives: build another natural gas pipeline; revitalize the Clean Energy Connect transmission project to bring clean energy from Quebec; and/or build enough non-natural gas generation capacity in the ISO to reduce the need to burn natural gas in the wintertime.

Ms. Burns noted that, during the call with ENE, Mr. Cavanaugh pointed out that ENE does not yet know which generation facility will hook-up to the LNG when the Mystic plant retires. Mr. Herrald agreed that this is one of the problems in the industry. A similar problem caused the pipeline project to stop. The pipeline was slated to bring natural gas to the Northeast. Utilities and municipalities could not sign-up to be the end user and pay for the project -- and generators only wanted seasonal or short-term gas contracts. This is an issue. Perhaps ISO can work on addressing who can pay for the gas until the grid is improved and you don't need to burn the gas.

Ms. Burns further noted that, during the call with ENE, the idea of transmission corridors came up. This is something that is included in the new Inflation Reduction Act. FERC will have the ability to declare transmission corridors along highways and public ways that can override the local opposition to transmission lines. Perhaps this may work to override local opposition to transmission lines for hydropower from Quebec or solar from the Midwest.

Mr. Reive asked about the Jones Act and whether there were any exceptions under the Act that might allow Everett to operate. Mr. Herrald noted that perhaps this can happen at the Federal level -- with Congress or through an Executive Order. Ms. Burns suggested that HMLP ask Dave Cavanaugh at ENE about contacting Massachusetts Senators about such issues. Mr. Herrald and Mr. Reive agreed. Mr. Morahan added that ENE is concerned about the cost of retaining the LNG plant in Everett, particularly given recent outcomes of a lawsuit against the owner. Ms. Burns added that the lawsuit concerns recovery against

the owner for tanks that were never built. The facility may not be able to continue to operate for additional years due to the lawsuit.

Ms. Burns concluded the discussion. She will reach out to Dave Cavanaugh and discuss reaching out to our Senators about the Jones Act and about the future of transmission corridors.

Approve Meeting Minutes: 1) Board Meeting Minutes 8-2-22; 2) Board Meeting Minutes 8-23-22; 3) Board Meeting Minutes 8-24-22; and 4) Board Meeting Minutes 7-26-22.

Ms. Burns noted that Mr. Herrald could not comment on the minutes since he was not at the meetings in question.

Ms. Burns asked Mr. Reive for comments/changes to the minutes. Mr. Reive noted some non-substantive corrections, all of which he will provide to Mr. Morahan. Ms. Burns asked for a motion to accept the 4 sets of minutes with said corrections.

Motion: Mr. Reive moved to accept the minutes as corrected. Ms. Burns seconded the motion. A vote was taken.

Mr. Reive – Aye

Ms. Burns - Aye.

Solar Study Article For Town Meeting

Ms. Burns lead the discussion on this topic.

Ms. Burns first summarized the status of the ongoing matter for Mr. Herrald's benefit. HMLP has a report -- which she will send to Mr. Herrald -- regarding solar on municipal buildings. They are searching for the right business model for the projects. The matter is complicated by ownership and payment issues. There are 4 projects that are first in line. The first 3 involve buildings that have not yet been built – the Foster School, the Public Safety Building and the new buildings at the County Club. The 4th project is for the Middle School. The Middle School was built solar-ready 10 years ago, with a roof that is warrantied for 30 years, and now is the time to put solar on the roof. Engineering studies are needed so that whoever is paying understands the cost/benefits involved. HMLP cannot, per its attorneys, pay for the engineering studies if the town owns the solar.

Ms. Burns continued. 3 engineering studies are needed. (A study for the Foster School was done by the Building Committee). Ms. Burns and Mr. Morahan discussed bringing an Article to the Special Town Meeting allowing HMLP to fund the remaining studies out of its available reserves. They also discussed this with Bill Ramsey, Chair of the Select Board, and Joe Fisher, a member of the Select Board. The Select Board is reluctant to add an Article to the Special Town Meeting Warrant because (1) the Warrant is procedurally

closed and (2) the Article does not necessarily relate to the purpose of the Special Town Meeting. Mr. Fisher proposed an alternative. Perhaps HMLP can conduct any required study, purchase the solar arrays and then sell the arrays to the Town. This proposal is interesting. HMLP can, according to statute, loan money to the entities. So, perhaps HMLP can even loan money to the Town for the solar purchases. Doing this might be appealing to the Town. However, there are risks associated with any such option. The purchase and/or loan to the Town related to the arrays might not be approved at Town Meeting. If that happened, HMLP would end up owning the arrays permanently and would have to devote resources to that for maintenance, etc. HMLP will need to do an analysis of the costs and potential scenarios. However, even where HMLP goes this route, HMLP still needs approval at Town Meeting for access to the roofs. This option still needs considerable thought.

Ms. Burns asked the Board members their thoughts on all of this. Mr. Herrald voiced support for the approach. He suggested that if this is done – i.e., HMLP owns the solar array but later sells it back to the Town – that HMLP sells back everything but the RECs. He added that he would also like to explore creative ideas of asset ownership for the light plant and explore a joint venture with others. Ms. Burns noted that HMLP has some contracts through ENE for solar. The last contract was signed with regard to solar in Connecticut and will be coming online in 2024 or 2025. So, ENE knows HMLP is interested in doing this. HMLP does not own the asset with regard to that deal, nor does HMLP have any deals where they own the asset. Mr. Reive added that HMLP would want to be the off taker after the developer comes in and does what they do best. He then suggested that HMLP look not only at its “short list” of projects but also at what else might be available. HMLP will want to make a portfolio appealing to a potential developer. There was further discussion on the topic. Ms. Burns noted that the working group can look into all of this. Mr. Herrald asked about whether there is data on roof suitability and capacity. Ms. Burns indicated that this data was developed using Google tools. She will send the report to Mr. Herrald.

Ms. Burns asked the Board whether Mr. Fisher’s suggestion is worth pursuing. Mr. Reive and Mr. Herrald agreed that it is. Ms. Burns asked Mr. Morahan. He pointed out that HMLP may have less expensive alternatives in the market for buying renewables than what is being proposed. Ms. Burns agreed, adding that the financials of all of this will need to be considered as the idea develops. She then discussed the potential cost of the engineering studies, estimating that overall cost might be in the range of \$7,000 each. Ms. Burns asked again if they should pursue Mr. Fisher’s suggestion. Mr. Herrald continued to express support for moving forward, noting however that costs should be considered. If the cost of the project(s) is more expensive than what is available for renewable power at market, they might also consider the benefit of self-growing renewables, which allows them to reach net zero goals. Mr. Reive stressed that intangible benefits should be considered.

Ms. Burns asked whether Mr. Morahan needed a motion from the Board to move forward here. Mr. Morahan clarified that the matter is within his purview. Funds in HMLP’s Green Fund account can be used for this purpose.

Ms. Burns then briefly explained HMLP's recently adopted Power Supply Policy to Mr. Herrald, noting that the Board can revisit this Policy to address any concerns Mr. Herrald might have as to what they are doing. Mr. Herrald voiced support of the Policy, noting that it provides a creative solution for addressing the idea of sustainability at the local, community level.

Mr. Reive asked whether any major developers are interested in the solar project. This was briefly discussed.

Next Steps for Opt-In Green Tariff

Ms. Burns provided a brief recap. HMLP had previously asked people from the Wellesley light plant to come to a Board meeting to discuss Wellesley's "opt-out" tariff program to create funding for green projects. Mr. Reive added that Wellesley's tariff results in a charge of \$4.60 per month, on average, to a customer's bill.

Ms. Burns asked about progress and next steps for creating this type of program in Hingham. Mr. Morahan stated that Ms. Griffin looked into the mechanics of adding the charge to a customer's bill. Ms. Griffin explained this. The cost would probably be around \$2,000. Ms. Burns noted that Wellesley formed a working group to help them move forward with their program, suggesting that they might want to do that too. Mr. Morahan stated that, as a first step, Ms. Griffin, Mr. Fahey and Ms. Bennett should reach out to Wellesley to discuss more specifics about how to implement a tariff program. Knowing Wellesley's process will help HMLP figure out how to set its rate, etc. Ms. Griffin noted some concerns about calculating a 4% surcharge. This was discussed.

Ms. Burns requested that the group report back on their progress at the next meeting.

Ms. Burns asked whether the public had any comments on this. There were no comments.

Items for the Next Legislative Session

Vinny Ragucci [of ENE] asked Mr. Morahan whether HMLP is interested in bringing any legislative matters to the next legislative session. Ms. Burn discussed this with State Representative Joan Meschino. They discussed the idea of legislation that would allow HMLP to bid on offshore wind contracts. ENE wants all MLPs to agree on the legislative item(s) they want to bring forward. Ms. Burns will reach out to other light plants to discuss this.

Mr. Reive noted that this might be an opportunity for MLPs to advocate for continued pursuit of hydropower from Quebec. Ms. Burns expressed interest in the idea.

Ms. Burns asked for comments on this from the public. There were no comments.

Vote to Support NEPPA Resolutions

Mr. Morahan provided some background on this topic. NEPPA's (Northeast Public Power Association) legislative group sent Resolutions to the various GMs and asked for them to support the Resolutions. The Resolutions carry very little weight.

1. NEPPA Resolution entitled "Support Existing and Advanced Nuclear"

Ms. Burns read the language of the Resolution into the record:

[NEPPA] 1. Supports public policies aimed at the preservation of existing nuclear plants, including the facilitation of safe and efficient relicensing procedures. 2. Supports incentives and programs for advanced nuclear technologies to accelerate their demonstration and deployment. 3. Encourages the consideration of advanced and existing nuclear as a clean energy resource in policies aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emission from the electric sector. 4. Supports multiple avenues to safety and reliably store and dispose of used nuclear fuel.

[The Resolution was then screen-shared.]

Ms. Burns asked whether anyone wished to comment on the Resolution. There were no comments. Ms. Burns requested a motion to support this Resolution.

Motion: Mr. Reive moved to support the Resolution. Mr. Herrald seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Reive: Aye

Mr. Herrald: Aye

Ms. Burns: Aye

2. NEPPA Resolution entitled "Support Transmission Incentives and Cost Allocation Reform"

[The Resolution was screen shared.] The Resolution states:

[NEPPA] 1. Opposes the use of rate incentives as a means of driving transmission investment. 2. Supports FERC efforts to examine and reform policies surrounding incentives, transmission planning and cost allocation. 3. Calls on FERC to consider the cost to consumers as part of the analysis of whether a rate is "just and reasonable." 4. Opposes cost allocation methodologies that assign costs to public power systems without their consent. 5. Supports joint ownership policies that would allow public power to hold an ownership interest in transmission."

While reading this language into the record, Ms. Burns asked questions regarding the meaning of different phrases used, such as "rate incentives," "cost allocation

methodologies,” etc. Mr. Herrald and Mr. Morahan provided insight as to the potential meaning of the terms.

Ms. Burns asked for comments from the Board. Mr. Herrald noted that the Resolution is reasonable. Ms. Burns requested a motion to support the Resolution.

Motion: Mr. Herrald moved to support the Resolution. Mr. Reive seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Herrald: Aye

Mr. Reive: Aye

Ms. Burns: Aye

3. NEPPA Resolution entitled “Support a Reliable, Affordable Transition from Natural Gas”

[The Resolution was screen shared.] The Resolution states:

[NEPPA] 1. Supports the use of natural gas as a bridge fuel to maintain grid reliability until sufficient dispatchable renewable and clean energy resources can be introduced into the energy mix in New England; 2. Supports efforts to improve natural gas deliverability to the regions, including the construction of additional pipeline and energy storage capacity, waivers of Jones Act restrictions on liquified natural gas shipments, and other policies to reduce costs and increase availability of natural gas during period of critical constraints so that it can be used as a bridge fuel; 3. Advocates for the prioritization of cost and reliability impacts on consumers as States and other stakeholders develop clean, dispatchable energy, resources to reduce New England’s dependence on natural gas.”

Ms. Burns read the Resolution into the record. Board members provided the following comments on the Resolution.

- Mr. Herrald stated that natural gas is the best option for the near future.
- Ms. Burns does not support the construction of additional pipeline capacity. This language is off the table for her, even if the Resolution carries little weight. She would like the Everett facility to be maintained. She believes that additional pipelines will end up as abandoned assets.
- Mr. Herrald added that the problem with pipelines is the ability to find an end user that can take on the pipeline for the entire life. This is complicated by the economics of seasonal demand profiles.
- Mr. Reive noted that economics may drive the question of pipelines. Gas will be a bridging fuel until the economics and scale of renewables comes into its own. There may be an appetite to putting assets into the ground to retain the resilience of

- the grid. Although he agrees with Ms. Burns in principle, gas is needed given that renewables and storage are not there yet.
- Ms. Burns believes that the new pipelines are not the solution to a short-term problem.
 - Mr. Reive noted that he would like to see energy come from Canada.
 - Ms. Burns noted that perhaps FERC can address this first when addressing transmission corridors.

Ms. Burns asked if anyone wished to bring a motion to support the Resolution. No motion was initiated.

Incentive Programs: Weatherization Incentives

Ms. Bennett shared with the Board proposed scenarios for a weatherization incentive program. The programs address income-based rebates and incremental weatherization incentives.

Ms. Burns asked whether Ms. Bennett believed that HMLP would need to implement an opt-out rate in order to fund any such program. Ms. Bennett advised that HMLP will need to set a specific fund for the program so that HMLP knows where the money is coming from and in order to reach a significant number of customers. Only residents with oil and electrical heating will be eligible for the rebate.

Mr. Reive asked about incentives for windows. Ms. Bennett pointed out that windows are included in the proposal. Mr. Reive noted windows are a less efficient form of weatherization than insulation and weather sealing.

Mr. Reive provided some additional comments. Incentives are currently available through Mass Save. Also, the new Inflation Reduction Act provides generous incentives, mainly to low-income people. These incentives will not be available until next year. He wonders whether they can figure out a way to encourage people to weatherize now rather than wait for incentives under the Inflation Reduction Act. Ms. Burns and Mr. Reive discussed this further.

Ms. Burns expressed concern that the program might be a little confusing. Mr. Herrald agreed. He also would like to be 100% clear as to how HMLP will fund the program. Ms. Bennett noted that HMLP's current rebate is 50% of the project cost up to \$1,000. Ms. Burns suggested raising this cap for the rest of the year – but noted that HMLP needs to move toward a full-fledged program and help customers with fewer resources. This was discussed further. Ms. Bennett explained what Concord is doing with regard to its program. Concord has a standard \$2,000 rebate, with breakouts that change incentive amounts. People can show eligibility using forms other than tax forms. Mr. Reive stressed that HMLP needs to look holistically at all of this. Reducing kWh is the most efficient way to reach energy goals. To him, weatherization is the first program that should be incentivized. Only one of the proposed tables and charts needs to be adopted. Ms. Burns agreed but stressed that it might be confusing to choose a program now and change it later. Perhaps

they should generate a view of where the money will come from first, such as through an opt-out program, then come back to the proposals and chose which of the programs works best. This was discussed. Outreach was also discussed.

Ms. Burns asked how everyone felt about postponing the decision on which weatherization proposal to use until HMLP has worked on its green-rate idea. Mr. Herrald noted agreement with doing that but noted that perhaps they can move forward with an outreach plan. Ms. Burns agreed that a plan is needed. Ms. Bennett added that perhaps Electrify Hingham can serve as a lens for that. She will look into that and present a more robust outreach plan to the Board.

Mr. Reive raised the notion of life-long cap on rebates. It was also noted that “opt out” customers will be eligible for rebates. Ms. Griffin noted that keeping track of all of this will require a database. There was further discussion.

Ms. Burns concluded. Weatherization Rebates will be revisited at the end of the year or when HMLP determines how it will fund the program(s). In the meantime, Ms. Bennett will work on a marketing plan for Electrify Hingham. The Board will take an interim look at that plan. The plan will address federal, state and local incentives.

Financials: 3 year summary and YTD – April 2022

[Mr. Morahan screen-share HMLP’s 3 year financial summary.]

Mr. Morahan led the discussion. June was a warm month -- and kWh sold were up significantly. Expenses for June were less than prior the prior year. HMLP’s net income for the month is \$365,000. With regard to YTD: 1) kWh sales are a little lower than the previous year; 2) revenue is up; and 3) net income is \$223,000. Net income is lower than the last two years. HMLP seems to be on the right track. The increase in June helped.

[Mr. Morahan screen-shared a document/graph showing “Energy Costs,” “Transmission Costs,” “Capacity Costs,” and “Total Expenses” -- 2019-2022.]

Mr. Morahan continued. Energy costs this year are much higher than in years past. Transmission Costs are in line or slightly higher. Capacity costs are lower. Total expenses for 2022 are higher. July should be another good month and revenue should continue to be on an up-tick. The budget has not been a great indicator. HMLP should be pretty good for end of year.

Mr. Herrald asked what percentage of HMLP’s the portfolio is exposed to the price of the broad market. Mr. Morahan stated that HMLP is typically 70-80% hedged and 20% exposed. Mr. Herrald asked if it is fair to say that the 20% is the power cost supply increase? Mr. Morahan said yes.

Ms. Burns asked whether there were any public comments. There were none.

Updates: Battery Storage, Additional Transmission Line and New Substation, EV Chargers, HMLP Solar

Mr. Morahan provided the Updates.

Battery Storage: They are operating successfully and meeting individual peaks. However, they missed the BECO (Boston Edison) transmission peak in July due to timing issues. HMLP should know how much was saved for the year by next month.

After next month, only annual reports on this topic will be necessary.

Transmission Line: About 30 – 40 people attended a recent meeting on this in Weymouth. It was an open house format. Those in attendance noted that they preferred a “presentation” format rather than the open-house format. The next meeting in Weymouth is in September and will be a presentation. HMLP will also hold a presentation at the Hingham Library rather than an open house. Any Zoom meetings can be posted on HMLP’s website.

Eversource provided its estimate for the Station. Mr. Morahan needs to talk to Deidre Lawrence about releasing this information because the document is marked “Privilege and Confidential.” Eversource’s estimate is multiples beyond what HMLP estimated internally. Payments will be in the range of \$7 million per year for the Station, declining over a period of 37 years. Mr. Morahan will share the estimate with the Board members individually. Mr. Morahan has already talked to PLM about adding this information to the financial model. Looks like 4 cents per kWh will be added to the rate. Mr. Herrald asked if there were less expensive routes to consider. Mr. Morahan explained what they looked at. The NGRID costs associated with other routes to reconductor the NGRID lines due to capacity limitations were in the range of \$18 million, so these options fell off the plate. Mr. Reive asked for clarification about the project. Morahan explained this further.

HMLP’s new transmission lines were recently inspected. Some insulators and poles will need to be replaced due to woodpecker damage. Mr. Morahan is looking into this.

EV Chargers: The Level II chargers should be installed by end of September. The installer has the permits from the Town. They are waiting on the pre-formed bases.

Solar Array: HMLP does not have to go to the Planning Board for this. The consultant is working on the design.

Other New Business.

Ms. Burns noted that she wished to discuss the format of meetings going forward. She asked whether Mr. Herrald is available or interested in meeting in person rather than

virtually. Mr. Herrald noted that he is available to meet in person, but that his schedule will sometimes only allow for a virtual meeting.

Ms. Burns suggested, going forward, that Board members meet in the conference room at HMLP with their respective laptops. They will use their laptops during the meeting for speaking, for the benefit of the public.

There is a special meeting with Utility Finance Solutions currently scheduled for September 14 at 2 pm.

Motion to Adjourn

Motion: Ms. Burns requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Reive so moved. Mr. Herrald seconded the motion.

Mr. Reive – Aye

Mr. Herrald – Aye

Ms. Burns – Aye

The meeting adjourned at approximately 1009 am.

List of Documents Provided to Board Members for the Meeting

- Letter from ISO NE to FERC regarding winter fuel security.
- Draft HMLP Board Meeting Minutes for 8-2-22
- Draft HMLP Board Meeting Minutes for 8-23-22
- Draft HMLP Board Meeting Minutes for 8-24-22
- Draft HMLP Board Meeting Minutes for 7-6-22
- Draft Proposal(s) for Structure of Weatherization Rebates – Breanna Bennett
- 3 Month Financial Summary and Related Graphs – Tom Morahan

Documents Shared During Meeting

- NEPPA Resolution entitled “Support Existing and Advanced Nuclear”
- NEPPA Resolution entitled “Support Transmission Incentives and Cost Allocation Reform”
- NEPPA Resolution entitled “Support a Reliable, Affordable Transition from Natural Gas”
- Draft Proposal(s) for Structure of Weatherization Rebates – Breanna Bennett
- 3 Month Financial Summary and Related Graphs – Tom Morahan